

Topic 8: Outdoor Recreation Areas

Eleven groups of 5 to 8 people gave their input on each of the following questions. The number beside each response indicates how many times it was heard.



Should residential development be allowed in Outdoor Recreation areas?
Note that the zoning at the golf courses and Mt Sima would potentially be changed accordingly. The zoning at Mt Mac Ski Trails and the Biathlon Range would not be changed.

- 8 Yes – notes include:
 - 5 Ensure recreational uses are the primary use, and not diminished
 - 3 Need public consultation
 - 3 Small scale residential only
 - 1 Need green building standards
 - 1 High density condos, timeshares
- 3 No – don't allow it
 - 1 Needs further investigation

Topic 9: Architectural Design Regulations

Should the OCP support commercial design standards for Downtown?

- 9 Yes
- 2 No

What should they include?

- 5 Retention of greenspace/landscaping/green connections
- 4 “Heritage” e.g. Gold Rush look on Main, 1st, Wood to Lambert area
- 3 Limitations on building mass/height esp. on Main Street
- 2 Design for crime prevention esp. on waterfront
- 2 Flexibility for developers
- 2 Sustainability / energy-efficiency standards
- 1 Focus on commercial core buildings that are ‘human-scale’
- 1 Main Street
- 1 Incentive-based approach – “carrot, not stick”
- 1 No regulations on colours or materials
- 1 Requirement to use natural materials, local art (e.g. murals) and recreation spaces

Community Café Input



Results

What do you think? Fill in a comment form! Phase Two comments are due by May 26th.