

**From:** [adam trickett](#)  
**To:** [Public Input](#)  
**Subject:** Fine no Z-08-2022  
**Date:** Friday, July 22, 2022 1:51:51 PM

---

I am late sending this email I was going to go at 5:30 but I will not be back in town

I do not agree with the development plan in copper ridge for few reasons

1- you will lose the snow pile area

2- kids play back there and atv / dirt bike close to home

3- already a very busy area to get onto the main rd

4- people that bought the house back here sole reason for this treed area like myself

5- so many other areas to build like McLain and lobird area and range rd by the trailer park

Why build in an area with older homes you are going to mess up the neighbourhood in copper ridge. No reason to build plus build next to a steep cliff area is a very bad idea

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Peter Coates](#)  
**To:** [Public Input](#)  
**Subject:** zoning amendment, bylaw 2022-26  
**Date:** Monday, July 25, 2022 11:24:08 AM

---

I recognize the virtue of the development of the area behind Falcon drive. It is infill, there is a housing shortage, etc, etc.

At the same time, I find it odd that this is not recognized as having an adverse affect on people in the area. The walking area behind North Star and Falcon is heavily used. There are a couple of spots where the wooded area is narrow so one is walking close to people's property boundaries, closer than the 100metre buffer beyond which dogs are allowed off leash (a significant loss of amenity). This new development pushes closer to the edge than elsewhere in this area so one would be right up against people's property lines (or on a slope that would be unusable or difficult in winter). And the illusion, currently available, of being "out in the forest" would become much thinner. Currently it is common practice for people to move well to the side to avoid issues with dogs: this would cease to be an option in this area..

I foresee more people driving to walk or exercise their dogs, an undesirable outcome, and more conflict between dogs squeezed into this narrow strip, and more conflict between dogs and property owners that close to a well-used trail. The walking trail will, being so much more compressed, either become overused or abandoned as people drive to exercise.

Given the inevitability of this development I would suggest a compromise whereby a bigger buffer is retained between the edge of the development and the edge, as is done in places along North Star.

Anne Coates  
Peter Coates

